Coronavirus Disease-19 Outbreak: Barriers to Hand Hygiene Practices Among Healthcare Professionals in Sub-Saharan Africa
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Hand hygiene practice entails hand washing, which is simple, cost-effective, and one of the first lines of defense in ceasing the spread of the current pandemic. This narrative review of published studies is conducted to highlight factors impacting hand hygiene practice and identify evidence-based strategies for improvement in sub-Saharan Africa.

METHODS: The literature search strategy covered printed and online sources, including manual library search (PubMed), Embase, Medline, and Cochrane Library. For papers written in English and published in the last ten years. A systematic analysis of available data was subsequently performed based on the review questions.

RESULTS: An estimated 134 articles were found online, and thirty-two articles utilized in the final analysis. Overall, hand hygiene betides with low compliance rates in developing countries. The calculated compliance rate was 20.49%. The challenges identified were the poverty of awareness and scientific knowledge concerning hand hygiene, infrastructural deficit including lack of access to clean and potable water, soap, hand rub gel, misconceptions regarding hand hygiene practice, etc.

CONCLUSION: Healthcare-associated infections draw increasing attention from all and sundry due to the growing recognition that most of these are preventable. Free evidence-based practice suggests that strict adherence to hand hygiene reduces the risk of cross-transmission of infections and especially in the COVID-19 pandemic era. The challenges identified in this review are consistent with the findings of studies conducted elsewhere. With “Clean Care is Safer Care” as a prime list of the World Health Organization’s global initiative on patient safety programs; therefore, it is high time for developing countries to formulate the much-needed policies for implementing basic infection prevention practices in our healthcare settings.
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INTRODUCTION

Hygiene is a collective term for every practice aimed at minimizing contacts with pathogens, such as bacteria and viruses [1]. That includes hand hygiene (HH), bathing, and keeping the workplace, kitchen, and toilet clean, etc. Clean hands are the primary preventive tool for spreading pathogens. Besides, it might yet be necessary to disinfect sometimes even after cleaning [1]. In this light, HH is one of the crucial measures paramount for preventing the transmissions of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS)-CoV-2 virus, which causes the Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) [2, 3]. HH practice entails hand washing, which is simple, cost-effective, and one of the first lines of defense in ceasing the spread of the current pandemic. It must be adequately done using soap and water for at least 20 seconds [2-5].

Moreover, a respiratory infection caused by viruses like COVID-19 spread when virus-containing droplets advance into the body through the eyes, nose, or throat. Most often, this happens through contact with contaminated hands. The risk of COVID-19 transmission is magnified when people contact an infected person, or when they abut surfaces that an infected person has contaminated [2, 5]. The lasting effects of coronavirus in the hands may be erased with handwashing using soap and water, especially when done correctly [2, 6]. Currently, there is a systematic review of handwashing and its risk for respiratory infection, and it implied that HH could reduce the risk of the disease by 16% [2, 7]. Compliance with the recommended hand hygiene practices has paramount importance in averting the spread of COVID-19. Subsequently, there are reports that, in several settings, HH customary procedure...
remain an area that calls for improvement [2, 3, 8-11]. A recent study by Pogrebna et al. found that a country’s HH culture is a very compelling predictor of the degree of COVID-19 spread [2, 11].

Furthermore, healthcare workers (HCWs) are regularly exposed to microorganisms. Many of which can cause severe or even lethal infections [12, 13]. “Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) encompass infections developed by HCWs as a result of healthcare administration, hospital infections manifesting during hospitalization or after patient discharge, nursing home-acquired, long term care-associated, out-patient-related (e.g., dialysis, chemotherapy) and also home-care-associated infections” [12, 14-16]. Interestingly, the World Health Organization (WHO) has strongly recommended that all the state members proffer public HH stations in response to COVID-19, and ensuring obligatory usage of these when visitors or personnel enter and leave the facilities, such as hospitals and health centers, etc. [1, 3]. Besides, an institution-based assessment of students’ handwashing behavior in Cameroon revealed that “the prevalence of handwashing with soap was estimated at 10.7%.” Besides, the majority of the study participants (75.2%) had poor handwashing practices score [17]. Yet, compliance of HCWs to HH guidelines is low, especially in the African settings [18]. Consequently, there are general concerns about the poor HH culture in sub-Saharan African (SSA). Their negative implications in the control of COVID-19 were the motivations behind the undertakings of this study.

**PANDEMICS OF CORONAVIRUS DISEASE 2019**

SARS-CoV-2 is remarked as a novel virus responsible for the severe acute respiratory syndrome pandemic, also known as Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). First discovered in December 2019, SARS-CoV-2 has been the causative agent for a pulmonary illness initially detected in Wuhan City, Hubei province, China. Surprisingly, COVID-19 had spread throughout China and to 210 additional countries and territories as of April 13, 2020. Phylogenetic data implicate a zoonotic origin, and the rapid spread suggests ongoing person-to-person transmission. Several studies offer further insight into person-to-person transmission [19, 20-23]. However, there remain unknown details regarding the range of humans, including the level of exposure to a confirmed case at which transmission is more likely to occur. On March 15, 2020, Illinois, USA, reported the state’s first laboratory-confirmed case (index case) of SARS-CoV-2 in a traveler who returned from Wuhan, China [19, 20, 24]. New guideline to help protect the citizen from the transmission of the SARS-CoV-2 virus has been issued by the International Federation of the Red Cross (IFRC), UNICEF, and the World Health Organization (WHO) published on March 26, 2020. The direction provides practical checklists to keep schools protected from the pandemic. It also advises the State and Federal governments on how to adapt and implement emergency plans for educational facilities [19, 20, 23]. The primary focus is Global public health security and efforts to preventing the spread of COVID-19 pandemic. The guideline equally focuses on serious attempts to detect, report, and support infection prevention and control measures in general. Global health governance assists clinicians with laboratory facilities, especially those with state-of-the-art tools and quick reporting, which are crucial components of this response [19, 20, 24]. The overall response permits rapid information outflow and collaboration, especially between laboratory scientists and clinicians on the frontline. Healthcare workers are most at risk from outbreaks due to reemerging and novel pathogens. This risk has been observed in the current COVID-19 outbreak in China, whereas estimated 1716 health workers were infected by the virus, with six deaths as on February 14, 2020 [19, 20, 25]. As it was observed in a single-center case series of SARS-CoV-2 from Wuhan published recently [19, 20, 25]. The WHO released data dated April 13, 2020, showed a total estimated figure of COVID-19 infections at 1,920,181 cases, with 119,410 deaths and 443,735 recovered instances [19, 26]. The preceding brings to the fore HCWs exposure to the SARS-CoV-2 virus that caused lethal HAIs, which in this case, it is COVID-19 [12, 13], and the basis for this review could have been averted initially by proper HH practices.

**OBJECTIVE**

The study aimed to critically review existing literature to highlight factors impacting on hand hygiene practice and identify evidence-based strategies for improvement, available in the sub-Saharan African.

**METHODS**

**Literature Search Strategy**

We identified relevant articles to date using a manual library search (PubMed), Embase, Medline, and Cochrane Library as well as ClinicalTrials.gov for current trials on hand hygiene practices amid COVID-19 pandemic in sub-Saharan Africa. The Google search was done covering the following periods between March 1 and June 30, 2020, for papers written in English and published in the last ten years. Interestingly, the search was conducted using different keywords, including names of countries within sub-Saharan African, which were combined during the literature search and where applicable. The final search terms include these categories: “hand hygiene in healthcare” or “potent hand hygiene techniques” or “barriers” or “strategies to improve practice.” Others are “hand wash” or “hand hygiene” or “hand cleansing” or “hand decontamination” or “hand rubs” “infection control” AND substitutes to “handwashing” AND “healthcare worker” or “health care worker” or “nurse” or “medical doctor” or “healthcare profession” AND “Africa” or “sub-Sahara” or “Africa” or “Africa south of Sahara” or “Gambia” or “Swaziland” or “Sao Tome and Principe” or “Central Africa” or “Mozambique” or “Cote d’Ivoire” or “Comoros” or “Madagascar” or “Lesotho” or “Senegal” or “Seychelles” or “Togo” or “Somalia” or “Sudan” or “Guinea” or “Tanzania” or “Sierra Leone” or “Niger” or “Kenya” or “Botswnana” or “Burundi” or “Benin” or “Angola” or “Cameroon” or “Congo” or “Mauritania” or “Liberia” or “Ghana” or “Uganda” or “Malawi” or “Burkina-Faso” or “Chad” or “Zimbabwe” or “Zambia” or “Namibia.”

Moreover, there were attendant six million hits at the initial period, after that, the search was narrow to COVID-19 and hand hygiene research themes and relevant references were collected, analyzed, producing the subsequent 134 articles. Consequently, the study team read through them, and a final selection of the most pertinent thirty-two research articles was then critically reviewed in the final analysis.

**Data Analysis**

The data were extracted according to the review questions, and a narrative synthesis was conducted to identify the factors impacting hand hygiene practice.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE**

The concept of handwashing and its significance in inpatient care was initially expounded in the early 19th
It was in the department where midwives assisted in such deliveries. He concluded that physicians and medical students were contaminating their hands while performing autopsies and later attending the examination of women without handwashing [27, 29]. Consequently, he was the first to recognize the importance of handwashing in preventing transmission of infection [31, 33]. Florence Nightingale equally provided similar evidence during the Crimean war, when in Scutari in 1854; she called for essential public health in a military hospital. “Her interventions helped significantly to improve hygiene, cleanliness in the hospital environment, food, and therefore resulted in a reduction in mortality. Nightingale made history as one of the first who identified the relationship between nursing and infection control” [32-36].

EPIDEMIOLOGY OF HEALTHCARE-ASSOCIATED INFECTIONS IN SSA

“Since December 2019, multiple cases occurring unexplainable pneumonia were successively reported in some hospitals in Wuhan city with a history of exposure to a sizeable Hu`nan seafood market in Wuhan city, Hubei province, China,” [52-55]. “It has been confirmed to be an acute respiratory infection caused by a novel coronavirus; So far the numbers of cases have been increasing progressively.” [52-55]. Besides, “clustered cases and confirmed cases without a history of travel to Wuhan emerged. Also, confirmed cases without precise exposure to the Wuhan seafood market had been found in many foreign countries or regions” [52-55].

Considering that HCWs are at the front-line of patient care, they are exposed to HCAI. In China, as of March 2020, over 33,000 HCWs were diagnosed with COVID-19. The source of infection was proposed to be mainly through nosocomial transmission [56]. Interestingly, current evidence is in favor of a higher rate of HCAI in developing countries when compared to developed countries [57]. This evidence implies that HCAIs in developing countries can create spiral events of spreading of cases especially in the current pandemic. Surprisingly, there is a paucity of data on rate of HCAIs in sub-Saharan Africa during this COVID-19 pandemic. However, a review on the previous Ebola viral outbreak in Africa suggests that HCAI range from 5-18% of recorded infections in the year 2019 and 2020” [58]. Furthermore, “HCAIs are characterized by antimicrobial resistant pathogens. Another author submitted that secondary infections due to antimicrobial resistant pathogens contribute significantly to mortality rate of COVID-19 patients” [59]. Therefore, reduction of HCAIs in regions of high burden of antimicrobial resistance such SSA is important for addressing the effect of the pandemic [60-61]. At 24:00 on July 2, 2020, an overall estimate of 10,533,779 total confirmed cases, 176,102 confirmed new situations, and 51,2842 deaths have been reported by WHO globally; while the figures for Africa include, an overall estimate of 318,432 total confirmed cases, 11,638 confirmed new situations, and 6,340 deaths [52-56].

ROUTE OF TRANSMISSION

“The 2019 SARS-CoV-2 is a zoonotic communicable disease with possible source as a wild animal, most especially bats” [52-55, 62-66]. “Up to the present, the primary infection source was the patients with pneumonia infected by the 2019-SARS-CoV-2. Respiratory air-droplet transmission is the main route of communication. Meanwhile, it can be transmitted through contact with infected persons and coming into contact with articles contaminated with virus droplets” [52-55, 62-66]. “To date, many details, such as the source of the virus and its ability to spread in different populations, how people remain unknown, increase numbers of cases reinforcing human-to-human transmission,” [52-55, 62-66]. Besides, a study showed that SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid could be detected in the feces and urine of patients with COVID-19, suggesting that SARS-CoV-2 may be transmitted through the digestive tract through the fecal-oral route” [67-69]. “An author submitted that there is currently no credible evidence to support the claim that SARS-CoV-2 originated from a laboratory-engineered CoV. It is more likely that SARS-CoV-2 is a recombinant CoV generated in nature between a bat CoV and another coronavirus in an intermediate animal host. More studies are needed to explore this possibility and resolve the natural origin of SARS-CoV-2” [70].

“The main symptoms of COVID-19 caused by SARS-CoV-2 are fever, dry cough, and fatigue. A few patients may have a runny nose, sore throat, and diarrhea. Some patients may have dyspnea, and those who have a severe form of COVID-19 may rapidly progress to acute respiratory distress syndrome, coagulation dysfunction, and septic shock” [67-69]. “From the present treatment cases, mild patients only show low fever and slight fatigue but no pneumonia. Most patients have a good prognosis; a few patients may have a severe condition, and the elderly and those with basic chronic diseases have a poor prognosis” [67-69].

REGIONAL VARIATIONS IN THE INCIDENCE OF COVID-19

Almost every country reported some cases of COVID-19, but some areas have been hit much harder than others. Researchers are speculating why the new coronavirus has infected thousands in some countries but has left other countries relatively untouched. —The answer “could have profound implications for how countries respond to the virus, for determining who is at risk, and for knowing when it’s safe” to ease specific mitigation measures, Bleeck and colleagues write [71].

1. Younger Populations: Researchers have noted that a lot of the countries experiencing comparatively lower rates of COVID-19 cases also have relatively younger populations. Therefore, they have theorized that countries with younger residents on average are less likely to see widespread outbreaks of COVID-19 [71]. “This theory could explain why Africa, which has the most youthful population so far, has reported about 45,000 cases of COVID-19 among about 1.3 billion people. While Italy, which has a national median age of more than 45, is among the countries most affected by COVID-19, Beech, and colleagues report,” [71]. According to Robert Bollinger, a professor of infectious diseases at the John Hopkins School of Medicine, “younger patients often are less likely to have underlying health conditions like diabetes and hypertension, which can cause potentially fatal complications in COVID-19 patients. Josip Car, a population and global health expert at Nanyang Technological University in Singapore, said younger patients usually have more robust immune systems than older patients, which can make them more likely to experience milder cases of COVID-19” [71].

2. Cultural Distance: “People in some countries tend to be more socially distant than others, which researchers theorize could help to prevent the new coronavirus from spreading, Beech and colleagues write. For instance, in India and Thailand, people typically greet each other from a distance by putting their palms together—a greeting that does not require people to
touch others. These countries have reported relatively low numbers of COVID-19 cases, Beech, and colleagues report,” [71].

3. Environment: “Researchers have noted that the new coronavirus has appeared to spread faster in countries with temperate environments like the United States. It was noted that, when countries first started reporting cases of COVID-19, countries with warmer climates were not reporting many cases,” [71]. “The observations led researchers to question whether the new coronavirus struggled to survive in the heat. Further, Beech and colleagues note that a study by ecological modelers at the University of Connecticut has found that ultraviolet rays could inhibit the new virus, suggesting that surfaces in sunny places may be less likely to remain contaminated” [71].

4. Governments’ Response: “Countries that enacted strict hygiene practices, social distancing, and stay-at-home policies early in their outbreaks mostly experienced milder outbreaks overall, Beech and colleagues write” [71]. They noted that countries in Africa that previously experienced outbreaks of HIV and Ebola ‘reacted quickly’ and were able to enact specific mitigation measures faster than other countries. For instance, employees at airports in Sierra Leone and Uganda were wearing masks and asking travelers for contact details long before some Western nations enacted similar precautions, Beech and colleagues write [71]. “Sierra Leone also repurposed disease-tracing protocols that officials had used during West Africa’s Ebola outbreak in 2014. So far, the country has reported only 155 confirmed cases of COVID-19. Countries that initiated stay-at-home and social distancing policies early also have reported fewer instances of COVID-19” [71].

5. Delayed Wave Theory: “Researchers point to the 1918 Spanish outbreak as a basis for the theory, noting that the explosion didn’t hit areas like Alaska and the South Pacific until its third wave occurred in 1919” [71]. “In terms of the new coronavirus pandemic, the world still is in the ‘early early’ stages, Ashish Jha, Director of the Harvard Global Health Research Institute, said. If this were a baseball game, it would be the second inning, and there’s no reason to think that by the ninth inning the rest of the world that looks now like it hasn’t been affected won’t become like other places, Jha explained” [71].

### BENEFITS OF HAND HYGIENE PRACTICES

Several Clinicians on the global front opined that HH is a critical factor in reducing hospital-acquired infection. The finding was further substantiated, especially during the initial development of healthcare systems [31, 72]. “Proper HH is suggested as the most crucial, simplest, and cheapest means of eradicating HCAIs and a means of reducing the spread of antimicrobial resistance [27, 35, 73-75]. Also, HH practice is equally found to be efficient in the COVID-19 pandemic era in reducing the spread of the disease” [27, 35, 73-75]. Several studies have demonstrated that hand washing virtually eradicates MRSA’s carriage, which invariably occurs in HCWs working in ICUs [27, 76, 77]. An increase in handwashing compliance is accompanied by a fall in MRSA rates [27, 78]. “The HH liaison group identified nine controlled studies, all of which showed significant reductions in infection-related outcomes, even in settings with high infection rates in critically ill patients” [27, 79, 80]. Transmission of Health-care-associated Klebsiella sp. has also been documented to reduce with improvement in HH [27, 75]. “The evidence suggests that adherence to HH practices has significantly reduced the rates of acquisition of pathogens on hands and has ultimately reduced the prices of HCAIs in a hospital,” [27, 75, 81-83].

### INDICATIONS FOR HAND HYGIENE DURING PATIENT CARE

The World Health Organization (WHO) advocates ‘Save Lives: Clean Your Hands’ programs [27, 38, 84] that give credence to the “My Five Moments for Hand Hygiene” approach as critical to protecting the patients, HCWs, and the healthcare facility from the spread of pathogens and thus reduce HCAIs. Besides, the program “My Five Moments for Hand Hygiene” teaches HCWs to clean their hands: before touching a patient, before clean/aseptic procedures, after body fluid exposure/risk, after touching a patient and after touching patient surroundings as documented in Figure 1 [27, 38]. Similarly, it is paramount to wash the hands often and correctly to stop the spread of COVID-19 [2-4, 85]. The accurate way of handwashing requires five key steps:

1. Wet both sides under clean running water;
2. Stow a liberal amount of soap to the front and back of the hands, as well as fingertips;
3. Rub in between and around the fingers; rub the back of each hand using the palm of the other hand; rub fingertips of each hand to the opposite palm of the other hand, and rub each thumb clasped to the opposite hand for at least 20 seconds;
4. Rinse thoroughly under running water, and dry hands with clean paper/towel;
5. Turn off the water using a paper towel as documented in Table 1 [2, 3, 86, 87]. “Besides, it motivates the HCWs to wash their hands with soap and water when (i) overly contaminated with proteinaceous material, blood, or other body fluids. Meanwhile, if exposure to Bacillus anthracis is suspected or proven, then washing and rinsing hands in such circumstances are recommended. Mainly because alcohols, chlorhexidine, iodophors, and other antiseptic agents have weak activity against spores; (ii) advocated also, after using a restroom, wash hands with a non-antimicrobial soap and water or with an antimicrobial soap and water; and (iii) before and after having food” [27, 73-75, 84, 88, 89].

Furthermore, in all other clinical situations described below, when hands are not overly dirty, it is mandatory to use an alcohol-based hand rub routinely for decontaminating hands. The clinical scenarios for routine use of an alcohol-based hand rub is as documented in Table 2 [27, 67-69, 84, 88, 89].

### POTENT HAND HYGIENE TECHNIQUES

“Mani et al. in 2010 submitted that result-oriented HH practice involves the cleansing of visible contaminants and the reduction of microbial colonization of the skin. The hands of any Healthcare workers are commonly exposed to two types of pathogens. They include (a) Transient (contaminating) microbes, and (b) Resident (typical or colonizing) microorganisms,” [90]. Furthermore, “active HH, either by hand washing with antimicrobial soap or alcohol-based hand-rub, is one critical way to minimize the cross-infection risk. Therefore, potent hand washing is the application of a plain (non-antimicrobial) or antiseptic (antimicrobial) soap onto wet hands. After that, vigorous rubbing together of both sides to form a lather, subsequently ensuring that the hand-rubs cover the entire surface of the hands, the base of the fingers, between the fingers, back of the fingers, fingers tips, fingernails, thumb and wrists for one minute, etc.” [31, 91, 92]. “It is equally beneficial for the nails to be made low. It is remarked that artificial fingernails are potential traps for bacteria and must be avoided as much as possible. Some authors mentioned that new nail polish on natural nails does not aggravate microbial load; however, chipped nail polish can harbor bacteria” [91]. Wearing jewelry, such as rings or hand watches, could lead to bacterial colonization, especially for the underlying skin.
Once soap and hand rub have been applied, then the hands need to be appropriately rinsed in other that the latter is completely removed [92-95]. “Hand drying is equally essential to prevent cross-infection because microorganisms tend to thrive in a damp environment. Moreover, proper hand drying is required before wearing gloves, as trapped moisture under gloves can cause skin irritation and increase the harboring of bacteria” [91, 94]. “Alcohol-based hand-rub is recommended for hand decontamination in all clinical settings apart from visibly soiled hands,” [91]. Alcohol hand-rub uses alcohol instead of water. In contrast to the mechanical (friction) removal of flora in hand washing, alcohol works by killing the vegetation. Alcohol hand-rub differs from hand washing because it acts on the microorganisms by denaturing their proteins and thus can eradicate all transient flora and most resident flora,” [92]. “It also takes lesser time than hand washing, between 15 to 30 seconds. The process of alcohol hand-rub starts by applying a sufficient amount of the alcohol-based hand-rub product (liquid, gel or foam) according to the manufacturer’s recommendation (usually between 3 to 5 ml), and spreading it all over the hands, especially the areas between fingers, thumbs and fingernails. The effective concentration of alcohol should be 60% to 95%; levels of greater than 95% are not recommended because they have less water, which is essential for the protein denaturation of microorganisms, making them less potent” [91,93,95].

HCWs should adopt either procedure for HH, either alcohol hand-rub or handwashing with antimicrobial or non-antimicrobial soap, but use the latter if hands are visibly soiled. Using both methods simultaneously is not recommended, as it doubles both cost and time. Trampuz & Widmer in 2004 argues that using alcohol hand-rub immediately before or after hand washing could cause dermatitis and further recommend wearing powderless gloves to avoid possible alcohol reaction with a residual powder [92]. However, Kampf & Löffler in 2010 maintains that using alcohol hand rub after hand washing could reduce irritation caused by hand washing detergents since this method also removes soap from the skin [93].

BARRIERS TO HAND HYGIENE PRACTICES

Several factors are responsible for inadequate hand hygiene compliance among HCWs which are well discussed in one exhaustive review by Attaiyero et al. the various barriers identified are consistent with the findings of studies conducted elsewhere; however, it appears that heavy workload, infrastructural deficit, and poorly positioned facilities are more likely in developing countries” [96]. They are equally summarized in Table 3 [96-128].

A. Lack of Awareness and Scientific Knowledge: Some authors suggest that poverty of awareness and scientific knowledge concerning hand hygiene are established barriers to the practices [31, 90, 92]. Karabay et al. in 2005 emphasize that lack of adequate knowledge of proper infection control during training programs, especially by students, leads to lousy HH practice [96-128, 129-132]. According to Ott & French in 2009, who advocated that nursing students receive training on standard infection control precautions during their first seven weeks [72]. However, the training benefits decline from the beginning to the third year of study [72]. Therefore, it is essential to emphasize the teaching of infection control courses at every cadre of study. Nazarło in 2009 found that most graduating pre-registration nursing students do not receive a broad education program in infection control [133].

B. Limited Promotional Courses or Seminars: Takahashi & Turale in 2010 [101] reported that educating the populace through short courses and seminars are fundamental in promoting HH and help staff to comply with the institutional protocols of infection control. Although 90% of the NHS trusts provide induction training in infection control for their team, but many individuals are of the opinion that NHS fails to provide annual updates for such infection control training [96-128, 133].

C. Misconceptions Regarding Hand Hygiene: Other authors suggest that misconceptions regarding HH are also believed to contribute to low compliance; for instance, when gloves are used as an alternative to HH, or the notion that skin irritation arises from frequent HH practice [90, 92, 96-128, 132].

D. Professional and Organizational Barriers:

Besides, increased workloads, understaffing, limited time, lack of role models among colleagues or seniors, lack of organizational pledge to proper HH practice, disagreement with guidelines and protocols and lack of motivation have all contributed to poor compliance with HH and infection control measures [31, 90, 96-128, 129].

E. Lack of Hand Hygiene Infrastructure: Lack of HH infrastructure such as tap water, sinks, antiseptic or non-antiseptic soaps, and alcohol hand-rubs, etc., can also play a significant role in low-quality HH practice [31, 90, 93, 96-128, 134]. Such unavailability of infrastructure is further compounded in our developing countries. For instance, Ogunsola & Adesiji in 2008 reported that most wards in Nigerian hospitals lack support for proper HH. There are bucket and bowl methods as an alternative to tap water [135]. A similar report in India, by Devnani et al. in 2011 suggest insufficient, inconveniently positioned sinks, inadequate access to soap and water, as obstacles to appropriate HH practice [136]. Loffineur et al. in a 2020 study on COVID-19 and hand hygiene, submitted that HH is an essential component of infection prevention and control (IPC), which is often neglected by HCWs both in developed and developing countries, with compliance rates sometimes dipping below 20% [48, 137, 138]. Besides, overcrowding of healthcare facilities, and the absence of different zones and lack of reliable and adequate alcohol-based hand rub (AABHR), are among the many challenges preventing effective HH procedure in resource-limited healthcare settings [48, 137, 138].

F. Limited access to Clean and Portable Water: “In some rural communities of SSA, there is a lack of soap and clean water to hand. An estimated 3 billion people worldwide have no access to the portable at home. In the era of the COVID-19 outbreak, the public health directive to “wash your hands” is a challenge for people with limited access to clean water. Everyone knows by now what we have to do to ‘flatten the curve’ for COVID-19: we have to practice social distancing and wash our hands as if our lives depended on it’” [48, 137-139].

Furthermore, “handwashing is arguably even more important when people live shoulder-to-shoulder, near trash heaps and open drains. Handwashing, for the prevention of infectious diseases has been promoted for over a century; the current crisis even spawned a Google Doodle demonstrating proper handwashing in six distinct steps” [48, 137-139]. “But how do you adhere to these expectations if you have no access to piped water, or even if you are one of the lucky households with piped water, but from a communal tap that is only intermittently supplied? Consider families whose members have to fetch water from even a reasonably close-by source. In 2015, according to a report from UNICEF and WHO, 263 million people spent over 30 minutes per round trip to collect
water from an improved source, and 159 million people collected drinking water directly from surface water sources” [48, 137-139]. Interestingly, in rural India and Africa, for instance, due to the inadequate water supply, “toco-oral transmission” of microbes is a commonplace. Few families may have soap and a communal tap. But in the dry season, when the between-supply spells lasts for easily a week, definitely no more washing of hands with soap except after the toilet, because with used soap, even more water is needed to get the soap off [48, 137-139]. Community members have to make that water stretch. This situation is the reality of low-income households in low-income countries around the world. When there is no running water or no mechanism for delivering enough water, you cannot practice proper handwashing. Consequently, COVID-19 may not differentiate between prince and pauper, but running water does [48, 137-139].

STRATEGIES TO IMPROVE COMPLIANCE TO HAND HYGIENE PRACTICES

A. Healthcare Workers’ Education: Some works of literature submitted to increase HCWs’ compliance with HH, and it is essential to consider the enhancing factors. For example, staff education and proper follow-up training in HH practice are imperative [93-95].

B. Adequate Knowledge on Handwashing: “The governments and public health experts worldwide have been telling us to wash our hands with Soap and Water. Good hand hygiene could reduce cases of respiratory diseases by 20% and diarrheas by 30%. That means it has the potential to have a considerable impact on the spread of the coronavirus. The virus is made up of genetic material wrapped in a fatty coating. Soap molecules can disrupt this lipid membrane, causing it to fall apart,” [85-87, 93-95]. “The virus’s ‘spike’ proteins, which generally help it invade human cells, are lost into the surrounding environment, rendering the virus inactive. With the fragments of the virus enveloped by the soap molecules, they are washed away when you rinse your hands. The physical action of scrubbing hands can also help to dislodge viral particles, which is why there has been so much emphasis on how we wash our hands and for how long” [93-95].

C. Removal of Misconceptions: “Besides, equally important is to clarify HCWs’ misconceptions in terms of glove usage and skin problems to achieve better adherence to HH practice. The ward manager responsible for HH practices ensures they are always available and placed in accessible locations, most importantly inside and outside of patients’ rooms, nursing stations, and offices, etc.” [93].

D. Use of Promotional Materials: Smith & Lokhorst in 2009 [95] suggest using promotional materials, such as posters, which can be sited in visible sites within the hospital. Doing so serves as a reminder to HCWs, patients, and visitors of the significance of HH practice. Additionally, video jingles can be placed on the wards to show patients the importance of hand hygiene in preventing cross-infection. Proper hand hygiene technique illustrations above sinks or near to alcohol hand-rub dispensers have been proven to be very relevant to improved compliance [95]. On the other hand, improving handwashing through knowledge alone is typically insufficient to change handwashing behaviors [140-143]. “In many cases, humans modify their behavior in a socially desirable way when being observed by others. Hence, applying this basic idea to public handwashing stations may help positively influence individuals” [140-143]

E. Raising the Sense of Accountability Among HCWs: Besides, Madkfi & Dull in 2011 [130] point out that every nurse should be held responsible for reminding co-workers to practice hand hygiene, thereby raising the sense of accountability among HCWs.

F. Granting Incentives (such as Recognition or Awards): “Moreover, staff with good hand hygiene should be granted incentives like recognition or awards, such as the announcement in the hospital newsletter, an accolade that may positively impact one other to perform likewise. Short messages about hand hygiene practice could also be set on computer screen savers to motivate computer users,” [130].

G. Evidence for Recommending Alcohol Hand-Rub: There is much evidence for recommending alcohol hand-rub because of its clinical benefits and cost-effectiveness. Canham, 2011 [91] finds that alcohol hand-rub contains various emollients which are better tolerated by HCWs than hand washing. According to Trampuz & Widmer, 2004, [92] factors such as color, odor, and consistency of alcohol hand-rub products could influence HCWs’ acceptance of the product.

H. Positioned Alcohol Hand-Rub Dispensers: Additionally, alcohol hand-rub dispensers can be readily accessed at the patients’ bedside, waiting areas inside and outside patients’ rooms, the nursing stations, and next to computers [92, 131].

I. Cost Reduction Scheme: Mani et al. in 2010 [90] claim that alcohol hand-rub is suitable for use in countries where resources are limited. Also, alcohol hand-rub increases the potential of economic benefits by reducing annual costs, especially in countries where water has to be refined. There are also hidden costs: water decontamination, power for water heating, and water drainage [132].

J. Effective Use of Alcohol Hand-Rub: Effective use of alcohol hand-rub means that HCWs must strictly adhere to the manufacturers’ instructions, especially in terms of the amount used and the time needed to evaporate completely from the hands. Alcohol impregnated wipes are not as effective as alcohol hand-rub and not recommended for routine hand hygiene [92, 133, 144].

K. Ensuring Safety of Alcohol Hand-Rub: Trampuz & Widmer, 2004, submitted that alcohol hand-rub products tend to ignite, depending on the type and concentration. However, fires associated with such products are sporadic. Nonetheless, alcohol hand-rub products should be stored away from high temperatures, and containers should be designed to minimize evaporation [92].

L. Sustainable Solution to Water Scarcity: “Integrated Water Resource Management (IWRM) is becoming recognized as the only sustainable solution to water scarcity; by ensuring the provision of clean and potable water. This holistic water resource approach referred to as the Dublin - Rio principle (UNCED Rio de Janeiro 1992), highlights that freshwater is finite, vulnerable, and essential to sustain life, economic development, and the environment. Water development and management should be based on a participatory approach, involving users, planners, and policymakers,” [145].

Water production and consumption growth rates in many regions are unsustainable. IWRM promotes a holistic approach for the finite water resource that considers all users, planners, and policymakers. One practical benefit resulting from numerous high-level environmental conferences is an increase in communication and initiatives between municipalities, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and private enterprises. The worldwide Business Partners for Development (BPD) program, established by the World Bank in 1998, includes this pilot project. Vivendi Water, with its project partners — Durban Metro, City of Pietermaritzburg, Umgeni Water, Mvula Trust (NGO), and Water Research Commission -
installed and operate a new water distribution network for the townships in Durban and Pietermaritzburg, etc., [145]. "The partnership also trains employees to work the system. These sustainable solutions in most African communities provide the following benefits: (i) Community partnership with affordable water supplies in poor rural settlements; (ii) Making available an additional eight percent of potable water for the community; (iii) Guaranteeing lower water costs to industry (25% than clean); and (iv) Reducing flow to an overloaded long sea outfall, thereby extending its life. Similar projects are ongoing in several rural communities worldwide," [145].

**KEY PANEL MESSAGE**

1. The impact of HCAI is pronounced in developing countries, and especially in Africa.
2. From evidence-based practices, contaminated hands play a significant role in the spread of HCAI and especially COVID-19.
3. Hand hygiene is a highly beneficial approach to reducing healthcare-associated infections and the COVID-19 pandemic.
4. The hand hygiene compliance rate among SSA healthcare workers is generally low.
5. The 'My Five Moments for Hand Hygiene' defines when hand hygiene should occur.
6. Barriers to hand hygiene practice include lack of water and soap, overcrowding, lack of infrastructure, or inadequate funds for procurement of hand rubs or gel, etc., particularly in the SSA.
7. Many low-resource settings have successfully implemented hand hygiene programs; some emphasized alcohol hand-rub as cheaper than running tap-water.
8. The impact of the WHO, NGOs, and Global Health Governance in the support and regulation of essential components of infection prevention and control amid the COVID-19 pandemic cannot be overemphasized.
9. Integrated Water Resource Management (IWRM) is becoming recognized as the only sustainable solution to water scarcity; by ensuring the provision of clean and potable water, especially in rural African settings.
10. Hand washing is only feasible with clean running water, and sustainable solutions to water scarcity highlight that freshwater is finite, vulnerable, and essential to sustain life, economic development, and the environment.

**CONCLUSION**

Healthcare-associated infections are drawing increasing attention from all and sundry due to the growing recognition that most of these infections are preventable. Free evidence-based practice suggests that strict adherence to hand hygiene reduces the risk of cross-transmission of infections, especially in the COVID-19 pandemic era.

The challenges identified in this review are consistent with the findings of studies conducted elsewhere. With "Clean Care is Safer Care" as a prime list of the World Health Organization's global initiative on patient safety programs; therefore, it is high time for developing countries to formulate the much-needed policies for implementing basic infection prevention practices in our health care settings.

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. We are advocating for an updating of the knowledge and practice of HCWs through continuing in-service educational programs.
2. We emphasize the importance of following the latest evidence-based practices of infection control in continuing education/training programs.
3. Develop and maintain infection prevention and occupational health programs.
4. Assure availability of sufficient and appropriate supplies necessary for adherence to Standard Precautions (e.g., hand hygiene products, personal protective equipment, and injection equipment).
5. Assure at least one individual with training in infection prevention is employed by or regularly available (e.g., by contract) to manage the facility's infection prevention program.
6. Develop written infection prevention policies and procedures appropriate for the services provided by the facility and based upon evidence-based guidelines, regulations, or standards.
7. Provide job- or task-specific infection prevention education and training to all HCWs. This includes those employed by outside agencies and available by contract or on a volunteer basis to the facility.
8. Training should focus on principles of both HCWs safety and patient safety.
9. Training should be provided upon hire for newly employed HCWs about infection control and repeated annually and when policies or procedures are updated/revised.
10. We are also advocating training competencies should be documented following each training.

11. A regular periodic in-service observational check should be done to assess hand hygiene compliance and practice in our healthcare settings.
12. There is a need to adopt a sustainable solution to water scarcity as a lasting remedy to providing clean and potable water, especially in rural African settings.
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TABLE 1: THE CLINICAL SCENARIOS FOR ALCOHOL-BASED HAND RUB [27, 73-75, 84, 88, 89]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>THE FIVE KEY STEPS OF HANDWASHING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Wet both sides under clean running water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Stow a liberal amount of soap to the front and back of the hands, as well as fingertips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Rub in between and around the fingers; rub the back of each hand using the palm of the other hand; rub fingertips of each hand to the opposite palm of the other hand, and rub each thumb clasped to the opposite hand for at least 20 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Rinse thoroughly under running water, and dry hands with clean paper/towel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Turn off the water using a paper towel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 2: THE CLINICAL SCENARIOS FOR ALCOHOL-BASED HAND RUB [27, 73-75, 84, 88, 89]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>THE VARIOUS MOMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Before having direct contact with patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Before wearing sterile gloves, especially when inserting a central intravascular catheter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Before inserting indwelling urinary catheters, peripheral vascular catheters, or other invasive devices that do not require a surgical procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>After contact with a patient’s intact skin (e.g., when taking a pulse or blood pressure or lifting a patient)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Also, after contact with body fluids or excretions, mucous membranes, non-intact skin, and wound dressings if hands are not visibly soiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>After contact with inanimate objects (including medical equipment) near the patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>After removing gloves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>When the HCW is moving from a contaminated body site to a clean body site during patient care</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 3: SUMMARY OF INCLUDED STUDIES [96-128]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>AUTHOR (YEAR)</th>
<th>POPULATION AND SAMPLE SIZE</th>
<th>RESEARCH AIM AND METHODS</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF RESEARCH FINDINGS</th>
<th>REF.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Abdella et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Healthcare workers at a University Hospital in Ethiopia (n=405)</td>
<td>To assess HH compliance and determinants in a cross-sectional study involving observations of HH and a questionnaire</td>
<td>Compliance was 16.5% Determinants were training, provision and locations of facilities, time, skin irritation, glove use, IPC committee and provision of individual towel/tissue paper</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Adegboye et al. (2018)</td>
<td>Healthcare workers in a teaching hospital in Nigeria (n=80)</td>
<td>To evaluate the HH practice among HCWs in the ICU through observational study</td>
<td>Overall compliance rate not reported. Factors influencing HH practices: contact type, glove use, training</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Alex-Hart and Opara (2011)</td>
<td>Healthcare workers at a University teaching hospital in Nigeria (n=258)</td>
<td>To explore perceptions, attitudes and handwashing practices through a cross-sectional study involving questionnaires</td>
<td>Rate of handwashing of the healthcare workers in this hospital reported to be low, figure not given. Factors influencing HH practices: fear of contracting disease, handwashing facilities and training/education</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Alex-Hart and Opara (2014)</td>
<td>Healthcare workers at a University teaching hospital in Nigeria (n=150)</td>
<td>To assess the handwashing practices through observational study</td>
<td>Overall compliance not reported. Factors influencing HH practices: glove use, patient contact type, need for personal protection and time of the day.</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Allegranzi et al. (2010)</td>
<td>Healthcare workers at a University teaching hospital in Mali (n=224)</td>
<td>To evaluate the feasibility and effectiveness of the HH implementation strategy through a before and after study involving questionnaires, observations and an inventory of resources in each of 24 clinical wards</td>
<td>Factors influencing HH practices: professional category, HH indication, presence of hand sanitizer, facilities</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Amissah et al. (2016)</td>
<td>Healthcare workers at a teaching hospital in Ghana (n=130)</td>
<td>To assess HH knowledge and practices through a cross-sectional, descriptive study (questionnaire)</td>
<td>Factors influencing HH practices: heavy workload, forgetfulness, lack of water, lack of cleaning towels, lack of hand dryer, lack of detergent, lack of time, HH training</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ango et al. (2017)</td>
<td>Healthcare workers in government-owned facilities in a local government area in Nigeria (n=144)</td>
<td>To assess knowledge, attitude and practice of HH through cross-sectional study involving questionnaire</td>
<td>Factors influencing HH practices: irregular water supply, inconveniently located sink, lack of hand sanitizer, lack of soap, knowledge/training, patient contact type</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Asare et al. (2009)</td>
<td>Healthcare workers in a teaching hospital in Ghana (n=38)</td>
<td>To evaluate the nature and frequency of patient contacts and healthcare workers’ compliance to HH guidelines through observation</td>
<td>Overall compliance not reported. Factors influencing HH practices: contact type, glove use, occupational category and training/education</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Bello et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Healthcare workers in a teaching hospital in Nigeria (n=356)</td>
<td>To assess practice, knowledge, beliefs/attitudes and determinants of handwashing practices through cross-sectional study involving questionnaire</td>
<td>Factors influencing HH practices: lack of facilities/poor quality, lack of time, heavy workload and forgetfulness</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Desta et al. (2018)</td>
<td>Healthcare workers in a referral hospital in Ethiopia (n=150)</td>
<td>To assess the compliance level with respect to appropriate HH practices through observational study</td>
<td>Overall compliance rate not reported. Factors influencing HH practices: professional group, lengthy work experience and higher educational status, contact type, glove use, training</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>AUTHOR (YEAR)</td>
<td>POPULATION AND SAMPLE SIZE</td>
<td>RESEARCH AIM AND METHODS</td>
<td>SUMMARY OF RESEARCH FINDINGS</td>
<td>REF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Ekwere and Okafor (2013)</td>
<td>Healthcare workers in a teaching hospital in Nigeria (n=430)</td>
<td>To evaluate knowledge, attitude and HH practices and to identify both the barriers and motivators of handwashing practices through cross-sectional study involving questionnaire</td>
<td>Factors influencing HH practices: fear of contracting disease, heavy workload, facilities, patient contact type, training/knowledge and occupational category.</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Geberemaryam et al. (2018)</td>
<td>Healthcare workers in Hospital settings in Ethiopia observations (n= 648)</td>
<td>To assess the compliance level with respect to appropriate HH practices through observational study</td>
<td>Compliance rate was 53.7% with only 25% of all HH being effective. Factors influencing HH practices: lack of resources, heavy workload, forgetfulness, negligence, location of facilities, professional category and perceived risk of infection</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Holmen et al. (2016)</td>
<td>Healthcare workers in a hospital in Rwanda (n=66)</td>
<td>To explore HH compliance improvement following implementation of WHO tool kit through a quasi-experimental study. Observations and surveys conducted at baseline and 3 weeks post implementation</td>
<td>Factors influencing HH practices: occupational category, knowledge, contact type, lack of resources</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Holmen et al. (2017)</td>
<td>Healthcare workers in a hospital in Rwanda (interviews n=17)</td>
<td>To assess HH compliance through observations at a rural hospital in Rwanda after HH improvement initiatives interviews. Study is a continuation of previous study – see above (Holmen et al., 2016) Overall compliance fell from 68.9% to 36.8% within a year. Factors influencing HH practices: professional group, role model attitude, HH more for personal protection</td>
<td></td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Ibeneme et al. (2017)</td>
<td>Physiotherapists in 3 tertiary hospitals in Nigeria (FGD n=15; questionnaire n = 44)</td>
<td>To investigate compliance through cross-sectional study involving questionnaire, FGDs and inventory of resources</td>
<td>Factors influencing HH practices: inadequate infrastructure and materials, HH protocol, forgetfulness, distant location of HH facilities</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Iliyasu et al. (2015)</td>
<td>Healthcare workers in a teaching hospital in Nigeria observations n= 200</td>
<td>To assess the compliance level with respect to appropriate HH practices through observational study</td>
<td>Overall compliance rate not reported. Factors influencing HH practices: lack of resources, excess workload and time constraint</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Kalata et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Doctors and medical students in a hospital in Malawi (Observations n= 58; questionnaires n=116)</td>
<td>To investigate HH compliance through observations and questionnaire</td>
<td>Compliance rate was 23.5% with only 30% of all HH being effective. Factors influencing HH practices: lack of resources, heavy workload, forgetfulness, negligence, location of facilities, professional category and perceived risk of infection</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Kesah et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Healthcare workers at three Hospitals in Cameroon retrospective study (n=12917)</td>
<td>To evaluate the handwashing knowledge, practices and compliance through questionnaire</td>
<td>Overall compliance rate not reported. Factors influencing HH practices: Scrupulous hand hygiene and lack of resources, excess workload, staff education and HAI surveillance were paramount.</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Mearkle et al. (2016)</td>
<td>Healthcare workers in two hospitals in Uganda (Observations n=37; interviews n=9)</td>
<td>To explore current HH practice through observation and identify any barriers through inventory and interviews.</td>
<td>Factors influencing HH practices: contact type, HH training/knowledge, means of self-protection, busy workload, forgetfulness (carelessness), location of facilities</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>AUTHOR (YEAR)</td>
<td>POPULATION AND SAMPLE SIZE</td>
<td>RESEARCH AIM AND METHODS</td>
<td>SUMMARY OF RESEARCH FINDINGS</td>
<td>REF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Muhumuza et al. (2015)</td>
<td>Healthcare workers in a national hospital in Uganda (baseline n=18; follow up n=20)</td>
<td>To improve HH practice through an interventional study involving baseline (2 weeks) and follow up (2 weeks) observations and questionnaires. Implementation involved training, display of posters, feedback on baseline audit, provision of resources</td>
<td>Factors influencing HH practices: workload and overcrowding, staff attitude and lack of knowledge, limited resources</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Ojong et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Nurses in a general hospital in Nigeria (n=102)</td>
<td>To assess the practice of handwashing through cross-sectional survey</td>
<td>Factors influencing HH practices: knowledge, IPC unit/guideline and facilities</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Omogbai et al. (2011)</td>
<td>Dentists and dental students in a teaching hospital in Nigeria (n=105)</td>
<td>To assess handwashing attitudes and practices through cross-sectional survey</td>
<td>Factors influencing HH practices: glove use, time, facilities, forgetfulness, skin irritation, contact type</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Omuemu et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Doctors in a teaching hospital in Nigeria (questionnaire n=326; observations n=108)</td>
<td>To ascertain the knowledge and practice of HH among medical doctors through cross-sectional survey and observations</td>
<td>Overall compliance is 16.7%. Factors influencing HH practices: lack of facilities, forgetfulness, lack of time, glove use, skin irritation, professional category, time of the day, contact type</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Opara and Alex-Hart (2009)</td>
<td>Medical students in a teaching hospital in Nigeria (n=261)</td>
<td>To assess the perceptions, attitudes and handwashing practices through a cross-sectional survey</td>
<td>Factors influencing HH practices: lack of facilities, lack of motivation, lack of time, procedure type, time of the day</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Owusu-Ofori et al. (2010)</td>
<td>Healthcare workers in a teaching hospital in Ghana (interviews n=27; observations (HH opportunities n=1226)</td>
<td>To establish baseline HH practices and resources through observations, interviews and inventory of HH resources</td>
<td>Overall compliance was 12%. Factors influencing HH practices: contact type, professional group, limited resources, lack of knowledge</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Patel et al. (2016)</td>
<td>Healthcare workers in a hospital in South Africa (trained n=557; observed n=497; intervention group n=146)</td>
<td>To establish an improvement in HH compliance using a multifaceted pre-post intervention study involving pre-study needs assessment questionnaire, training and display of posters. Post-intervention evaluation involved observations and monthly feedback</td>
<td>Factors influencing HH practices: ward type, professional category, lack of motivation, time constraints, staff rotations and turnover of doctors and nurses.</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Samuel et al. (2005)</td>
<td>Healthcare workers in a hospital in Eritrea (observations n=30; FGD n=34 HCWs, 30 patients)</td>
<td>To assess quality of HH care through FGDs, observations and inventory of resources in medical, surgical and obstetric units</td>
<td>Overall compliance rate not reported. Factors influencing HH practices: contact type, glove use, training</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Schmitz et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Healthcare workers in a university teaching hospital in Ethiopia (observations n = not reported; post-intervention survey n=161)</td>
<td>To define baseline HH compliance and assess the impact of implementing the WHO multimodal HH strategy through a before and after study. Intervention: distribution of hand sanitizers and implementation of the WHO multimodal HH strategy Pre and post-intervention : HH observations and post-intervention questionnaires.</td>
<td>Factors influencing HH practices facilities, knowledge, professional group, time of the day, ward type (better in ER than surgical wards), type of patient care, hand sanitizer type (HCWs preferred commercially prepared to hospital prepared sanitizers)</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>AUTHOR (YEAR)</td>
<td>POPULATION AND SAMPLE SIZE</td>
<td>RESEARCH AIM AND METHODS</td>
<td>SUMMARY OF RESEARCH FINDINGS</td>
<td>REF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Shobowale et al. (2016)</td>
<td>Healthcare workers in a teaching hospital in Nigeria (n=148)</td>
<td>To assess the compliance level with respect to appropriate HH practices through observational Study</td>
<td>Compliance before and after patient contact was 5.7% and 27% respectively. Factors influencing HH practices: assumption of HH as a means of personal protection, contact type, glove use</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Tobi and Enyi-Nwafor (2013)</td>
<td>Healthcare workers in a teaching hospital in Nigeria (n=100)</td>
<td>To evaluate the handwashing knowledge, practices and compliance through questionnaire</td>
<td>Factors influencing HH practices: lack of time, skin irritation, lack of and inconveniently placed facilities, handwashing thought as not necessary, poor knowledge of policies</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Uneke et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Healthcare workers in a teaching hospital in Nigeria (intervention phase n=202; evaluation phase n=209)</td>
<td>To identify factors associated with HH non-compliance through a cross-sectional, interventional study. Intervention phase: training, reminders at workplace etc. Evaluation phase: observations</td>
<td>Factors HH influencing practices: facilities, forgetfulness, occupational category, contact type, skin irritation, lack of awareness, absence of guidelines</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Yawson and Hesse (2013)</td>
<td>Healthcare workers in a teaching hospital in Ghana (observations n= not reported)</td>
<td>To provide baseline survey data on HH practices and determine resources available in all the major clinical service provision centers through an observational study</td>
<td>Overall compliance rate not reported. Factors influencing HH practices: professional group, patient contact (exposure) type, facilities, perceived risk of infection</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 1:** THE WHO APPROVED MY FIVE MOMENTS FOR HAND HYGIENE [27, 38]